

SECOND PROJECT TRIPARTITE REVIEW MEETING Nairobi, Kenya, 25-27 May 1999

SUMMARY OF THE MAIN CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. *For the remainder of the project, UNOPS should reinforce its role of technical control according to the decisions that would have been taken at the next Regional Steering Committee meeting; (point 4.7 para 118)*
2. *UNOPS should present a summary table at the next Steering Committee meeting, indicating the present situation as well as the expenses that have been undertaken but still not settled, among these the UNOPS costs; (point 4.7 para 123)*
3. *The project should identify which institutions are (or will be) mandated to fulfil each of the follow-up/evaluation functions that are planned for the future; (point 4.7 para 133)*
4. *The project should involve the nationals further in the definition of the work programmes; (point 4.7 para 134)*
5. *The project should make the best qualified national experts at the regional level, work in close relation with the recently recruited facilitators; (point 4.7 para 136)*
6. *The mission expenses (for expatriate or national experts) for project meetings should be limited to the minimum compatible with the achievement of expected outputs; (point 4.7 para 139)*
7. *Any charging of time to expatriate experts on the project budget should be limited to tasks carried out in the region, tolerating, however, (according to the agreement to be made between UNOPS and the NRI Consortium) the time spent on preparing and writing reports, if necessary; (point 4.7 para 141)*
8. *The project should complete the databases regrouping the existing data and install them in the appropriate institutions; (point 4.7 para 149a)*



Drs. Kelly West (Scientific Liaison Officer), Andy Menz (Project Co-ordinator), and Mr. Jean-Berchmans Manirakiza (Burundi National Co-ordinator and Chairman of the Meeting)

9. *The project should make a synthesis of all the pertinent scientific knowledge acquired until now, which is necessary for the definition of the special studies and for the elaboration of management tools for the Lake; (point 4.7 para 149b)*
10. *The project should treat, as a major problem, the question of verifying (or invalidating) the basic hypothesis concerning the environmental impacts that are threatening the Lake; (point 4.7 para 155)*
11. *Maximum effort should be exerted by the project in a timely implementation of all the special studies and the overall planning of activities shall assure that they can provide the necessary background for the Strategic Action Plan; (point 4.7 para 156)*
12. *The project should prepare a document (as a supplement to the present 'standing instructions' concerning the sampling and the laboratory work) on the overall technical approach and on the way the collected data may contribute to a better knowledge of the problems and to the development of the future management tools; (point 4.7 para 157)*
13. *The project should prepare and implement, before the end of the project, sustainable mechanisms/procedures for professional exchanges between the national experts in order to meet from now on the future needs for exchange of information, of experiences and of continuous harmonisation; (point 4.7 para 158)*
14. *The project should target the training towards the identified needs for the post-project phase; (point 4.7 para 216)*
15. *The project should target the equipment of the national structures towards the needs of the monitoring post-project as well as against the intercalibration and the exchange of data; (point 4.7 para 221)*